

Template Sample

1 PROPOSAL [PROPOSAL UPLOAD; ITEM 2] [10 DOUBLE-SPACED PAGES]

Proposal Content: A concise statement describing your research project is required. The narrative statement should explain, briefly but specifically, what you plan to do and why, as well as describe progress already made. The statement should also make clear the relevance of the project to the professional experience of all participants, and discuss the significance of this work within their specific and general fields. Please balance the description of specific work plans against an overview of your goals and the contribution this project will make to the field(s) it engages. Please give your proposal a brief, descriptive title, and label sections of your narrative as appropriate to assist readers. Equally important, the proposal should explain in detail the process and product of the collaboration. It should make clear the goal of the collaboration, its structure, how credit and acknowledgement would be determined, and how the process and project of collaboration would be mutually informing. Finally, the proposal should explain how collaboration enables research that is intellectually innovative and produces a final outcome that would be more valuable than the sum of individual efforts of the project members.

1.1 BRIEF DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

1.1.1 CONCISE STATEMENT DESCRIBING YOUR RESEARCH PROJECT

Evaluation Criterion 1: Intellectual significance of the project, including its ambition and scope, and its potential contribution to scholarship in the humanities.

Evaluation Criterion 6: Potential for success, including the likelihood that the work proposed will be completed and will lead to distinct results within the projected timeframe; where appropriate, the collaborators' previous record of success; and the size and allocation of the proposed budget in relation to anticipated results.

1.1.2 WHAT YOU PLAN TO DO AND WHY

1.1.3 PROGRESS ALREADY MADE

1.1.4 RELEVANCE OF PROJECT TO PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE OF ALL PARTICIPANTS

Evaluation Criterion 2: Relevance of the research questions being posed, appropriateness of research methods, feasibility of the work plan, appropriateness of the field work to be undertaken, the archival or source materials to be studied, and the research site.

1.1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF WORK WITHIN SPECIFIC AND GENERAL FIELDS

1.2 COLLABORATION

1.2.1 EXPLAIN IN DETAIL PROCESS AND PRODUCT OF THE COLLABORATION

Evaluation Criterion 5: Detail and soundness of the process and product of the collaboration, including dissemination

1.2.2 GOAL OF COLLABORATION

1.2.3 COLLABORATION STRUCTURE

1.2.4 HOW PI WILL DETERMINE COLLABORATION CREDIT AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

1.2.5 HOW PROCESS AND PROJECT OF COLLABORATION WOULD BE MUTUALLY INFORMING

1.2.6 HOW COLLABORATION ENABLES RESEARCH THAT IS INTELLECTUALLY INNOVATIVE AND PRODUCES A FINAL OUTCOME THAT WOULD BE MORE VALUABLE THAN THE SUM OF INDIVIDUAL EFFORTS OF THE PROJECT MEMBERS