

Step Guide on Proposal Development Process

		·	·
	Step	o Work	Role(s) Involved: O=Owner; C=Contributorsas needed/available
I. Make Decision—30% of time on Stages I, II, III			
	1.	Review proposal opportunity & support docs	O—PI; C—Mentors
	2.	Contact critical officials for feedback	O—PI; C—Program Officer; mentors;
	2	Deside whether to apply	O—Campus Sponsored Research Office (SRO) and Campus officials O—PI
	3.	Decide whether to apply	0—PI
	II. C	Organize Process	
	4.	Review requirements, gather data, identify gaps	O—PI; C—Colleagues & mentors
	5.	Set schedule	O—PI; C—Department Chair
	6. -	Do initial budget estimate & level of effort	O—PI; C—Department Chair; equipment OEMs; service suppliers
	7.	Determine required submission method	O—PI; C—Colleagues; Campus Sponsored Research Office (SRO); Program Officer
	8.	Hold kickoff/strategy session	O—PI; C—Any roles that PI cannot fill
III. Prepare Content			
	9.	Review known/assumed requirements	O—PI; C—Colleagues
	10.	Conduct literature review; collect data from all sources	O—PI; C—Colleagues; CSU boilerplate library; mentors
	11.	Ask Program Officer or SRO about any questions	O—PI; C—Program official; SRO
	12.	From template, draft outline—tech, outreach, career	O—PI; C—Colleagues
	13.	Review outline	O—PI; C—Colleagues
	14.	Identify gaps—data, expertise, facilities, equipment	O—PI; C—Colleagues; mentors; Department Chair
	15.	Request department support letter	O—PI
	16.	Fill gaps; revise plan based on how well PI filled gaps	O—PI; C—Colleagues & mentors
IV. Write—40% of time			
	17.	Write draft text: project plan, bio, & 2nd budget estimate	O—PI; C—Colleagues & mentors
	18.	Create draft art	O—PI; C—Graphic artist
	19.	Polish draft for review	O—PI; C—Colleagues; desktop specialist
	20 .	Draft department letter	O—Department Chair
V. Review & Revise—30% of time for Stages V			
	21.	Refine content for draft	O—PI
	22.	Review draft; supply feedback to PI	O—Reviewers
	23.	Incorporate review suggestions; revise text & art	O—PI; C—Graphic artist
	24.	Set final budget; adjust plan based on budget issues	O—PI; C—Colleagues & mentors
	25.	Do final content QA check & fixes	O—PI; C—Colleagues & mentors
	26.	Determine if department letter needs revisions; request	O—PI
	27 .	If department letter needs revisions, obtain them	O—PI & Department Chair
	28.	Create final version	O—PI; C—Desktop specialist
	29.	Do a test run in submission tool if it is not email	O—PI
VI. Produce			
	30.	Produce package	O—PI; C—Desktop specialist
		Upload to online tool or email	O—PI; C—SRO; colleague ("buddy system"—for successful, timely upload)
VII. Process Post-Submission			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		Conduct lessons learned session	O—PI; C—All people who contributed to the process
		Select /enter material for CSU and PI's libraries	O—PI
	55.	Solost/ontol material for OOO and 1.13 librarios	